

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/024,164	TALIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kishor Mayekar	1753	

All Participants:

(1) Kishor Mayekar.

(2) William Koch.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 February 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5, 13, 14, 16 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Attorney agrees to correct by an examiner's amendment claim 5 to clearly define the claimed subject matter; claims 13, 14 and 16 to correct incorrect claim dependency; and the cancellation of claim 23 because the phrase "a suspension bath comprising" which reads on the prior art..